Insights from VDSA Meso Level database

P Parthasarathy Rao, E Jagadeesh, MA Lagesh and V Surjit

International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, India

Diversification of Agriculture in India

- Agricultural production in India is diversifying from production of cereals towards fruits and vegetables and livestock products such as milk and meat.
- Between Triennium Ending (TE) 1980-81 and TE 2009-10 the share of value of cereal output in total value of output in agriculture is declining while the shares of high value commodities like fruits, vegetables, milk and meat, is increasing.
- In absolute terms, during TE 2009-10, the total value of output of fine cereals (rice and wheat) is highest followed by milk and fruits and vegetables.



System/ Zones	Location	No. of districts	Dominant Activities (% to crop TVOP ¹)
Humid			
1	Assam, Kerala, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu	16	Plantation crops (45%); Livestock ² (24%); Fruit (15%); Vegetables (9%)
Sub-Humid			
2	Assam, Karnataka, W. Bengal, Tamil Nadu	14	Vegetables (27%); Plantation crops (20%); Livestock (19%); Rice (14%)
9b	Maharashtra, Karnataka	7	Fruit (56%); Livestock (15%); Rice (9%); Plantation crops (7%);
11	Chhattisgarh, Madhya Pradesh, Jharkhand, Odisha, W. Bengal	33	Rice (31%); Vegetables (29%); Livestock (21%); Fruit (7%)
14a	Uttarakhand, Himachal Pradesh	13	Livestock (39%); Vegetable (24%); Wheat (10%); Coarse cereals (9%)
9a	Himachal Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Uttarakhand	4	Fruit (44%); Vegetables (20%); Livestock (17%)
8b	Rajasthan	2	Livestock (29%); Oilseeds (26%); Pulses (11%); Plantation crops (9%);
12	Gujarat, Jharkhand, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, Assam	20	Livestock (49%); Rice (16%); Vegetable (10%); Wheat (8%);
6a	Uttar Pradesh, Uttarakhand	11	Sugarcane (37%); Livestock (23%); Wheat (13%); Rice (8%)
Sub-Humid/Semi-Arid			
3	Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan	14	Livestock (31%); Pulses (29%); Wheat (14%)
14b	Bihar, Uttar Pradesh	10	Livestock (34%); Vegetable (20%); Wheat (15%); Fruit (10%);
5	Bihar, Haryana, Punjab, Uttar Pradesh	42	Livestock (32%); Wheat (26%); Rice (20%); Vegetables (6%)
Semi-Arid			
7	Andhra Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Gujarat, Maharashtra	22	Cotton (25%); Livestock (18%); Oilseeds (15%); Coarse cereals (7%);
6b	Gujarat, Karnataka, Maharashtra, Tamil Nadu	13	Sugarcane (26%); Livestock (25%); Fruit (11%); Coarse cereals (8%)
14c	Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh	3	Livestock (30%); Vegetable (20%); Fruit (15%); Sugarcane (10%)
13	Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Tamil Nadu, Madhya Pradesh, Karnataka, Maharashtra	36	Livestock (31%); Rice (21%); Fruit (13%); Plantation crops (10%);
4	Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan	19	Oilseeds (38%); Livestock (21%); Wheat (16%); Pulses (5%)
Semi-Arid/Arid			
10	Gujarat, Himachal Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan	25	Livestock (43%); Oilseeds (17%); Wheat (13%); Coarse cereals (11%)
Arid 8a	Andhra Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh	6	Livestock (33%); Plantation crops (23%); Oilseeds (20%); Pulses (14%)
4.1/00			

Figure 1. Share of major commodity groups in total agriculture vale.

Supply Response and Investment in Agriculture in South Asia: An **Agro-Ecosystem Perspective**

The study examined responses of aggregate farm output, crop and livestock input use and farm investment to output prices and rising wages, technological change, public investments, and climate change in major agro-ecosystems of India.

The major findings of the study are:

- Strong and positive effects of markets and banks and agricultural wages on aggregate output indicating growing linkages and influence of widening rural non-farm economy on agricultural growth.
- Strong and positive influence of world prices on aggregate output price.
- Strong and positive effects of urbanization and rural literacy on agricultural wages.
- Positive effects of output price and banks and rural literacy on farm mechanization (investment in tractor and pumpset), intensive cultivation, and technology adoption.
- Supply elasticities of rice and fish with respect to output price in the coastal agro-ecosystem are significantly larger than aggregate supply elasticity with respect to aggregate price.

Typology of Agriculture for Technology Targeting and Development Planning

• A crop-livestock typology of agriculture that incorporates agro-ecological and socioeconomic factors influencing agricultural production and livelihoods of households was developed using meso-level data (district level) following Agricultural activity - based approach (AAA).

1. VOP stands for total value of Output (crops + livestocks). 2. Livestock includes will from cattle and buffaio and weat and Egg from all species including poultry. Milk dominates in the livestock activities across the zones except for zone 13.

Figure 3. Location and Dominant activities of Crop-Livestock Typology classified by Agro-Ecological Region (AER), India.

Spatial and Temporal Mapping of Meso-Data Using GIS

- For grouping the districts into homogenous zones, the crop and livestock activities considered included, rice, wheat, coarse cereals, pulses, oilseeds, sugarcane, cotton, fruits, vegetables and all livestock (milk, meat and eggs).
- Using cluster analysis a 14-zone agricultural activity based typology has been constructed and characterized in terms of geographic spread, dominant agricultural activities and spatial variability with respect to crop and livestock performance.
- The crop-livestock typology developed is a useful tool for targeting development planning initiatives and transfer of technology



Figure 2. Crop Livestock Typology, India, 2008.

- Spatial and temporal patterns of key parameters (specifically area and yield) has been analyzed for ICRISAT mandate legume (chick pea, pigeon pea and groundnut) and cereals (sorghum and pearl millet).
- This will help in delineation of systems and zones in which legumes and cereals play an important role and identification of areas to be targeted for technological interventions.
- The map (Figure 4) shows variation in the rainy season (kharif) sorghum yields across districts and the change between 1980 and 2010.
- Sorghum yields have increased in several districts as also the number of districts with yields >1057 kg/ha.



• Between 1980-82 to 2007-10 the area under High yield category districts has increased significantly while the area under medium and low yield category districts has declined (Figure 5). • Factors determining

the Kharif sorghum

yield change will be

extensively



Figure 5 Changes in the share of High, Medium and Low Yield category identified and studied Districts in total area under Kharif Sorghum, 1980-82 to 2007-10.

March 2015

• Similar analysis has been carried out for other ICRISAT mandate crops.

